

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,320	11/20/2001	Michael M. Barlow	532 P 058	9968
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel N. Christus			BARRY, CHESTER T	
Wallenstein & Wagner, Ltd. 53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-6630			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/989,320	BARLOW, MICHAEL M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will be period for reply will b	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ The 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	·
Disposition of Claims		
 4)	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of	nccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies flot	. TOOCIVEU.
attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (F10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No((s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/989,320

Art Unit: 1724

At the last line of each of Claims 1 and 5, the phrase "said slots" is recited. Insofar as "slots" are nowhere else to be found in either of claim 1 and 5, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this recited phrase in the claim. Accordingly, claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention with a reasonable degree of precision. Claims 2 and 3 and 6 and 7 are rejected for the reasons given with respect to claims 1 and 5, respectively. Separately, claims 3 and 7 are independently rejected for it is unclear whether the "rectangular slots" recitals in these two dependent claims are the "said slots" of claims 1 and 5. As for claims 9 – 10 and 12, claim 12, which depends directly from claim 10, and indirectly from 9 through claim 10, recites "said openings." No such recital of "openings" can be found in any of claims 9, 10, or 12. Accordingly, claims 9 – 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention with a reasonable degree of precision. Namely, it is unclear which, if any, of claims 9, 10, or 1 recite "openings." Claim 11 is rejected because the claims from which is depends directly or indirectly, claims 10 and 9, respectively, are rejected.

Otherwise, claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7, and 9 - 12 are allowable over art for the reasons given by applicant.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/989,320

Art Unit: 1724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Chester T Barry

Examiner

571-272-1152